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| Lecture Program |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weok | Drion | Godzına | Temin | Lecture |
| 1 | ra | 14:50-16:25 | 12.10.2015 | Intraduction to risk, retum \& investment decisions 1 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Pn}^{\text {n }}$ | 14:50-16:25 | 19.10.2015 | introductionte risk, retum \& Invertment deckilons2 |
| 3 | Pu | 14:50 16:25 | 26.10 .2015 | Introductionta partdia theory 1 |
| $+$ | Pa | 14:50-16:25 | 02.11.2015 | Intraduction to partfolia thcory 2 |
| 5 | P. | 14:50-16:25 | 09.11.2015 | Valuation acouray |
| 6 | Pa | 14:50 16:23 | 16.11.2016 | SartekMeron |
| 7 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | 14:50 16:2\% | 23.11.2015 | Eartek Merrona |
| 5 | ${ }^{1}$ | 14:50-16:35 | 30.11.2015 | Introductionto ascet pricing |
| 9 | Pa | 14:50-16:25 | 07.12 .2016 | Single Index Model |
| 11 | ${ }^{19}$ | 14:50.-16:25 | 14.12 .2015 | The Eenchmarking and tracking crirar |
| 11 | ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{n}$ | 1+:50-16:25 | 21.12.2015 | Modell line \& forccasting for imustmment docisions |
| 12 | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {P. }}$ | 14:50-16:25 | 11.01.2016 | Reefrasclon analyvis for Investment |
| 13 | Pu | 14:50 16:23 | 11.012016 | Appled Computer workhop |
| 14 | $\mathrm{Pm}^{\text {m }}$ | 14:5011 16:25 | 18.01 .2016 | Mcosuring investment performonce: risk \& return 1 |
| 15 | ra | 14:50-16:25 | 25.01.2018 | Measuring investimert performance: risk \& return 2 |
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## Some reading:

The following textbooks will be referenced:

- Bodie Z, A Kane \& A.J. Marcus, Investments, (McGraw-Hill International Edition)
- Brown G \& G Matysiak (2000) Real Estate Investment: A Capital Market Approach (London: Financial Times Prentice Hall)
- In addition, you will be provided with appropriate articles and other references
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RISK, RETURN \& PORTFOLIO THEORY: INTRODUCTION $\qquad$
Some basic principles:

- Investors try to maximise end wealth $\qquad$
- Investors try to minimise risk
- Investors require a reward for risk
- Different investors have different attitudes to risk $\qquad$
- Investors are forward looking
- Assets may be combined into portfolios
- Portfolios diversify away risk
- Diversification depends upon the correlation between assets
- There exists a set of efficient portfolios
- Risk = Systematic Risk + Specific Risk
- Systematic risk cannot be diversified away
- Prices should reflect systematic risk level


## ... are property investment decisions

 better than this?
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## Examples of Risk

- Market/economy-wide factor exposure
- Specific/unique risk $\qquad$
- Liquidity risk (market capacity/'lumpy' investments) $\qquad$
- Default risk
- Matching risk (liabilities)
- Business risk (herd instinct)
- Interest rate risk (debt/gearing)
- Tracking error
- Downside risk
- Value at Risk


## RISK \& RETURN CALCULATIONS

Return: Income Return \& Capital Appreciation IN THE FUTURE $\qquad$

Risk: The Uncertainty of the Return
The Volatility of Returns
Usually the Standard Deviation
Can We Use Historic Performance as a guide?

- Time scales
- We'll explore this in the computing class


## Rate of Total Return

RETURN:

- Return on capital invested
$=$ reflects inrease/decrease in capital invested
$\qquad$
- Cashflow in relation to capital employed $\qquad$
Total Return = Capital Return + Income Return $\qquad$

$$
\frac{P_{t}-P_{t-1}+C_{t}}{P_{t-1}}=\frac{P_{t}}{P_{t-1}}-1+\frac{C_{t}}{P_{t-1}}
$$

## RISK \& RETURN

Value: 2010 Q3 $£ 1$ million; 20011 Q3 $£ 1.1$ million $\qquad$
Rent, over the four quarters $£ 70,000$
Return $=\frac{1100-1000+70}{1000}=\frac{1100}{1000}-1+\frac{70}{1000}=0.17$ or $17 \%$
Properly, one should account for the timing of the cashflow (e.g. quarterly rents) and adjust for any capital invested (e.g repairs).

Money Weighted Rate of Return - takes into account cash flowing into and out of a fund, effectively the IRR.

Time Weighted Rate of Return - geometric mean of all subperiod returns. Fairer measure if fund manager has no control $\qquad$ over timing of investment. (example to follow)

## RISK \& RETURN

## RETURN: CALCULATIONS

We can easily work out historic return

- Directly for each individual asset
- From an index of performance (e.g. IPD, FTA)


## Arithmetic Mean:

(i) calculate year on year prices $r=\left(P_{t} / P_{t-1}\right)-1$
(ii) calculate the average/mean return $=\Sigma r / n$

Geometric Mean or Compound Growth:
(i) divide end value by start value
(ii) take the $n^{\text {th }}$ root then subtract one $=\left[P_{n} / P_{0}\right]^{(1 / n)}-1$
e.g. start 2002=100, end $2011=250$

Geometric mean $=[250 / 100]^{(1 / 10)}-1=0.096$ or $9.6 \underline{\%}$

## Measures of Return

- Money Weight Rate of Return - MWRR(IRR)
$\qquad$
Absolute measure of performance
- Time Weighted Rate of Return - TWRR

Enables comparison of performance $\qquad$

- Differences between MWRR and TWRR arise because of cash flows into and out of a portfolio

Money Weighted Rate of Return $\qquad$

$$
M W R R=\frac{V_{1}-V_{0}-C}{V_{0}+\frac{C}{2}}
$$

where:
$\mathrm{V} 1=$ Value of investment at the end of the period
Vo $=$ Value of the investment at the start of the period
C= Net income (cash flow) over the period
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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## MWRR/IRR

$\qquad$

- The IRR is found from: $\qquad$

$$
I_{0}(+i)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} C_{t j}(+i)^{\dot{j}}=V_{t}
$$

- where,
$-V_{0}=$ initial value of fund
$-V_{t}=$ final value of fund
$-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{tj}}=$ cash flow at time tj
$-\mathrm{k}=$ number of cash flows
- Fund value only required at beginning and end of year


## Time Weighted Rate of Return

- If the period of analysis is divided into $n$ sub-periods the TWRR is calculated as:
$T W R R=\left[\frac{V_{1}}{V_{0}} x \frac{V_{2}}{V_{1}+C_{1}} x \frac{V_{3}}{V_{2}+C_{2}} \times . . x \frac{V n}{V(n-1)+C(n-1)}\right]-1$
where:
$\mathrm{Vi}=$ Market value just before the ith cash flow $\mathrm{Ci}=$ ith cash flow $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Example of MWRR Calculation

$\qquad$
The returns for two portfolios, A and B , are $6 \%$ and $10 \%$ in two consecutive six-monthly periods. Assume that both portfolios start with a value of $€ 1000$ and that there is an injection of $€ 500$ of new money into portfolio B at
value of each portfolio at the end of 12 months is:
$\qquad$

Value of Portfolio A: $1000 \times 1.06 \times 1.10=1166$
Value of Portfolio B: $1000 \times 1.06 \times 1.10+500 \times 1.10=1716$ $\qquad$
The respective MWRR for each portfolio is.
$\operatorname{MWRR}(A)=\quad \frac{1166-1000}{1000}=0.166$
$\operatorname{MWRR}(B)=\frac{1716-1000-500}{1000+\frac{500}{2}}=0.173$

## Example of TWRR Calculation

$\qquad$

- The TWRR for portfolio A is:
- $\operatorname{tWRR}(\mathrm{A})=\left(\frac{1060}{1000}\right)\left(\frac{1166}{1060+0}\right)-1=0.166$
- $\operatorname{TWRR}(B)=\left(\frac{1060}{1000}\right)\left(\frac{1716}{1060+500}\right)-1=0.166$

This demonstrates that the TWRR has the desirable property of being independent of the timing of the cash flows. The best performing fund in absolute terms was fund $B$, but in comparative terms there was no difference in performance.

## Risk and return

- A key investment indicator is expected total return
- A second important investment indicator is risk
- Risk is a measure of the probability of expected return not being achieved
- Traditional measure of risk is variance or standard deviation of expected returns


## What is Risk?

- The possibility that actual return will differ from expected return
- Uncertainty in the distribution of possible outcomes
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How do we measure risk? $\qquad$
$\qquad$

- Risk is a measure of the uncertainty surrounding expected return
- Traditional measure of risk is variance or standard deviation of expected returns
- Historical data is used as a proxy for the future - Issues (time scales)
- Standard deviation can be used to estimate the range of possible outcomes around the 'best guess' of expected return


## RISK \& RETURN

## INVESTMENT RISK

How certain is the return? The more uncertain, the more risky the asset.
Risk then seen as variability of capital and income
If return is measured as a mean (average) return
Then risk is the variance / standard deviation $\qquad$
$\sigma=\left\{\Sigma(\mathrm{X}-\mu)^{2} /(\mathrm{n}-1)\right\}^{(1 / 2)}$
where $\quad \mathrm{X}$ is return for the period and $\mu$ is the mean return over time
Note that returns below and above average return contribute to risk, downside and upside risk; $\qquad$ Investors more concerned with downside?

Note also Business Risk and other Uncertainties
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

A Measure of Risk?

If expected return is measured as by the mean (average) $\qquad$ return then risk is the variance / standard deviation

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Risk $=$ Variance ? $\qquad$

The Variance or SD would be the correct measure of risk if either:

Investors have a quadratic utility function or
o Returns (or logged returns) are normally distributed

- Note that returns below and above average return contribute to risk, downside and upside risk;
- ...but surveys show investors are more concerned with downside risk!


## RISK \& RETURN IN STRATEGY - 1

EXPECTATIONS
Investment Strategy should be forward looking
The Risk \& Return should be Expectations $\qquad$
Is the past a guide to the future?
Can we rely on historic averages / patterns?
The property cycle, business cycle \& timing
Structural shifts and the macro-economy

## Diversification

- Risk reduction is a well understood concept
- Don't put all your eggs in one basket: diversify risk! $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Diversification

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
One of the few areas in economics where you get a 'free lunch' i.e. less
$\qquad$ risk without necessarily reducing $\qquad$ expected return!

## The mean/variance hypothesis

$\qquad$

- More return is better than less return
- Less risk is better than more risk $\qquad$
- Investment A is better than investment B if, and only if, its expected return is higher and its risk is equal to or less than that of investment $B$
- However, a more rational approach may be to combine, holding both $A$ and $B$


## Portfolios

- Combining several securities into a portfolio can reduce overall risk
- How does this work?
$\qquad$
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Risk and Return Profile Multi Asset (Portfolio)
$\qquad$


Assets A \& B are negatively correlated

## Portfolios of Securities: Return

Investors' opportunity set is comprised not only of sets of individual securities but also combinations, or portfolios, of securities
The achieved return on a portfolio is the weighted average of returns on component portfolios/securities:

$$
R_{p t}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} R_{i t}
$$

The expected return is also a weighted average

$$
E\left(R_{p t}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} E\left(R_{i t}\right)
$$

## Portfolios of Securities: Risk

However, the standard deviation of a portfolio is NOT simply a weighted average of securities standard deviations
We also need to account for co-variances
Example with 2 risky securities X and Y
$\sigma_{p}^{2}=w_{x}^{2} \sigma_{x}^{2}+w_{y}^{2} \sigma_{y}^{2}+2 w_{x} w_{y} \operatorname{Cov}(\mathrm{xy})$
$\sigma_{p}^{2}=w_{x}^{2} \sigma_{x}^{2}+w_{y}^{2} \sigma_{y}^{2}+2 w_{x} w_{y} \sigma_{x} \sigma_{y} \rho_{x y}$
Will the portfolio standard deviation be higher or lower than a simple weighted average?

## Example

$\qquad$
Risk Diversification $\qquad$
Share of Investment (weight) Risk (SD)

```
Asset A:
Asset B:
0.5
8
0.5
8
```


## Correlation:

```
0.5
```

Portfolio consisting of both assets
Total Volatility: 48
Standard Dev: $\quad 6.928203 \leq 8$

| Example (continued) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Risk Diversification  <br>   <br> Different correlation values  <br> Correlation Portfolio Risk <br>  (SD \%) <br> -1 0 <br> -0.75 2.828427125 <br> -0.5 4 <br> -0.25 4.898979486 <br> 0 5.656854249 <br> 0.25 6.32455532 <br> 0.5 6.92820323 <br> 0.75 7.483314774 <br> 1 8 |

## Observation

Combinations of less than perfectly correlated assets
result in risk reduction
$\qquad$
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| Observation |
| :--- |
| Combinations of less than |
| perfectly correlated assets |
| result in risk reduction |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Components contributing to return $\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ (can be diversified away)

## Motivation for Performance Analysis

- Investors who pay a fund manager to manage their portfolio require timely information about the investment's performance
${ }^{\bullet}$ Identification of sources of strengths and weaknesses in decisions
-The big question: Has any good performance resulted from good luck or was it the result of skill?

Why measure property performance?

- From investor's perspective
- evaluation of investment strategy vis-à-vis other investment classes
- comparative analysis against competitors and benchmarks
- isolation of active performance from general market movements
- identification of investment skills


## Evaluating Performance

$\qquad$

- In performance analysis you need to make relevant comparisons
- Performance should be evaluated on a relative basis; not on absolute basis!
- The investor needs to compare the returns of his/her manager with the returns that would have been obtained had he/she invested in an alternative portfolio with similar risk


## Investment question

$\qquad$

- Let's say that you decide to invest in a diversified equity portfolio with average risk. You obtain a return that was
$\qquad$ 20\%.
$\qquad$
is this satisfactory?
- Suppose the FTA All-Share Index has produced, for the $\qquad$ same period, a total return of $15 \%$.
$\qquad$
Can you say that the fund, for this period, had a superior return?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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[^0]:    On completion of the program you should be able to:

    - identify and explain theoretical concepts relating to investment strategy, portfolio selection and performance measurement applying them to real estate markets;
    - discuss, and appraise critically, relevant literature using investment strategy, portfolio selection and performance measurement models to real estate markets;
    - apply theoretical investment strategy and portfolio management principles to practical real estate problems;
    - analyse capital market data using quantitative techniques, to identify theoretically optimal portfolio strategies and assess manager performance;
    - interpret and evaluate published results of empirical research in the field.

